

**11D PAM 1-201**  
**COMMAND INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

FUNCTIONAL AREA: G5	CHAPTER: 15 SECTION: B	DATE OF REVISION: 1 MAY 2003
PROPONENT/PHONE NO: G5 350-6712/6286	PROGRAM/ACTIVITY/TOPIC (PAT) Project Partnership	UNIT INSPECTED/DATE:

ITEM	GO	NO-GO
<p>A. References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. USAREUR Reg 350-2, Project Partnership, 22 MAY 01</li> <li>2. 11D Supplement to UR Reg 350-2, 16 DEC 02</li> </ol> <p>B. Discussion: Project Partnership is a USAREUR program designed to improve interoperability and to foster trust and confidence between allied units necessary in the multi-national environment of Europe. Division-level recognition for quality Partnership Programs is given each year through the 11D Von Steuben Victory Awards. An effective Partnership Program emphasizes joint training at the soldier, battalion and higher level organizations. The emphasis must be placed on joint training that will improve the ability of units to fight together as allies. An effective Partnership Program ensures soldiers are aware of the goals of Project Partnership and the benefits of interoperability training. This training should be planned well ahead and be incorporated into the unit's training plan.</p> <p>C. Specific Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Does the unit have the above listed references?</li> <li>2. Does the unit have Allied partnership unit(s) (formal or informal) (Para 2, USAREUR Reg 350-2) ? If not, do not continue. If so, the designation of the partnership unit and its garrison location from U.S. unit are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Has a scroll been exchanged (Para 9, USAREUR Reg 350-2)?</li> <li>b. Is the Partnership Scroll displayed?</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Are partnership activities planned and integrated in the unit training program (Para 5, USAREUR Reg 350-2)? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Do partnership units conduct partnership planning meetings?</li> <li>b. Is the emphasis on training and interoperability?</li> <li>c. What is the frequency of activities?</li> <li>d. Are the partnership activities soldier oriented?</li> <li>e. Is Partnership Training briefed as part of unit QTB?</li> </ol> </li> <li>4. Is there a Partnership POC appointed by memorandum?</li> <li>5. Does the Battalion/Brigade Partnership POC possess appropriate knowledge on the following procedures (Appropriate knowledge will be a subjective determination based on oral examination by the inspector)?</li> </ol>		

ITEM	GO	NO-GO
<p>a. The general concept of Project Partnership (Para 3, USAREUR Reg 350-2)?</p> <p>b. Procedures for requesting BA11 Partnership Funds to support partnership activities (Annex B, IID Supplement to UR 350-2)?</p> <p>c. USAREUR Project Partnership Awards Program (Para 8, USAREUR Reg 350-2)?</p> <p>d. IID Von Steuben-Victory Project Partnership awards program (IID supplement to UR 350-2)?</p> <p>6. Has unit submitted the quarterly Project Partnership Event Report NLT the 5th day of each quarter?</p> <p>7. Has the POC retained copies of the past calendar year event registers?</p> <p>8. Does the U.S. unit ensure that the NATO unit reciprocates training per NATO Mutual Support Act (USAREUR Reg 12-16, Page 7, Para 10)?</p> <p><b>Rating Standard (Functional Area: Project Partnership):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commendable - 90% of all inspected items are rated GO.</li> <li>• Satisfactory: - Items 1, 2, 3, 5a, and 6 must receive a GO rating.</li> <li>• Needs Improvement: ????????????</li> </ul>		
<p>Inspector's comments mandatory for all "NO GO" items. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.)</p>		

**NOTES:**

VERIFICATION

X \_\_\_\_\_  
Unit POC's Signature, Name Rank, Date

X \_\_\_\_\_  
Inspector's Signature, Name Rank, Date